

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/543,014	08/15/2006	Alison Ann Watson	2245.054	4048
23405 HESLIN ROT	7590 11/21/2007 HENBERG FARLEY & N	EXAMINER		
5 COLUMBIA	CIRCLE	LOEWE, SUN JAE Y		
ALBANY, NY	12203	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	• .		11/21/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applic	cant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/543,014	10/543,014 WATSON ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Ur	nit			
		Sun Jae Y. Loewe	1626				
The MAILING DATE o Period for Reply	f this communication app	ears on the cover sh	eet with the correspo	ondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, - Extensions of time may be available u after SIX (6) MONTHS from the maillir - If NO period for reply is specified abo - Failure to reply within the set or exten Any reply received by the Office later earned patent term adjustment. See	FROM THE MAILING DA under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 ng date of this communication. ve, the maximum statutory period v ded period for reply will, by statute, than three months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS COMI 36(a). In no event, however will apply and will expire SIX , cause the application to be	MUNICATION. may a reply be timely filed (6) MONTHS from the mailin come ABANDONED (35 U.S.)	g date of this communication.			
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to commu							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .	-						
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
ciosed in accordance	with the practice under E	ex parte Quayle, 193	55 C.D. 11, 453 O.G.	. 213.			
Disposition of Claims							
4)	(s) is/are withdrawallowed. rejected. objected to.	wn from consideratio					
Application Papers							
	is that any objection to the elect(s) including the correct	epted or b) object drawing(s) be held in a ion is required if the d	abeyance. See 37 CF rawing(s) is objected to	R 1.85(a). o. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is ma a) All b) Some * c) 1. Certified copies 2. Certified copies 3. Copies of the ce	None of: of the priority documents of the priority documents ertified copies of the prior the International Bureau	s have been receive s have been receive rity documents have u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	d. d in Application No. been received in thi).				
Attachment(s)				•			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-			rview Summary (PTO-41				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent D Information Disclosure Statement Paper No(s)/Mail Date		5) 🔲 Not	er No(s)/Mail Date ice of Informal Patent Ap er:				

Art Unit: 1626

DETAILED ACTION

1. This is a national stage application of PCT/GB04/00198. Claims 45-63 are pending in the instant application. Claims 1-44 were cancelled by preliminary amendment filed on July 22, 2005.

Election/Restrictions

2. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

I. Group I, claim(s) 45-62 drawn to process of using compounds of formula

3. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons.

The technical feature linking the subject matter of Groups I and II is a core structure that is taught in the prior art, eg. see Nash et al. (RN 159440-57-0).

Art Unit: 1626

4. This application contains Markush claims directed to more than one species. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows: compounds encompassed by Formula I obtained by varying the substituent R to the core structure.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no Markush claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a Markush claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed Markush claim (MPEP 803.02). If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

- 5. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner: claims 45-63 encompass species described in section 4.
- 6. The species described within section 4 do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the reason provided in section 3.

Art Unit: 1626

7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species and invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention and species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

- 8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 9. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected

Art Unit: 1626

process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained.

Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sun Jae Y. Loewe whose telephone number is (571) 272-9074. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-5:00 Est.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph McKane can be reached on (571)272-0699. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1626

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Page 6

Sun Jae Y. Loewe Art Unit 1626

REBECCA ANDERSON PRIMARY EXAMINER